

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

Central Justice Center
700 W. Civic Center Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92702

SHORT TITLE: Hall vs. County of Orange

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/ELECTRONIC SERVICE

CASE NUMBER:
30-2021-01220678-CU-WM-CJC

I certify that I am not a party to this cause. I certify that the following document(s), Minute Order dated 02/15/23, have been transmitted electronically by Orange County Superior Court at Santa Ana, CA. The transmission originated from Orange County Superior Court email address on February 15, 2023, at 9:31:04 AM PST. The electronically transmitted document(s) is in accordance with rule 2.251 of the California Rules of Court, addressed as shown above. The list of electronically served recipients are listed below:

COUNTY COUNSEL-SANTA ANA
KAYLA.WATSON@COCO.OCGOV.COM

COUNTY COUNSEL-SANTA ANA
LAURA.KNAPP@COCO.OCGOV.COM

LAW OFFICES OF NICOLE C. PEARSON
JESSICA@FLTJLLP.COM

LAW OFFICES OF NICOLE C. PEARSON
MICHELLE@FLTJLLP.COM

LAW OFFICES OF NICOLE C. PEARSON
NPEARSON@NCPESQ.COM

LAW OFFICES OF NICOLE C. PEARSON
RITA@FLTJLLP.COM

Clerk of the Court, by:



, Deputy

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/ELECTRONIC SERVICE

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
COUNTY OF ORANGE
CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER**

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 02/15/2023

TIME: 09:19:00 AM

DEPT: C28

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Thomas S McConville

CLERK: B. Sadorra

REPORTER/ERM: None

BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: None

CASE NO: **30-2021-01220678-CU-WM-CJC** CASE INIT.DATE: 09/14/2021

CASE TITLE: **Hall vs. County of Orange**

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Writ of Mandate

EVENT ID/DOCUMENT ID: 73952197

EVENT TYPE: Chambers Work

APPEARANCES

There are no appearances by any party.

The Court is in receipt of the ex parte application of Plaintiffs seeking orders (1) compelling the deposition of Clayton Chau; and (2) granting leave for Plaintiffs to file a motion seeking sanctions.

The Defendants filed no opposition.

The Court does not need to hear argument on Plaintiffs's ex parte application and rules as follows:

The motion to compel is denied on an ex parte basis. It can be heard on a regular motion schedule. But, as Plaintiffs' note, there could be consequences under the evidence code should the out of court statement of a declarant be offered into evidence at an evidentiary hearing. As that issue is not before the court, it need not be addressed now.

Plaintiffs' request for leave to file a motion seeking sanctions is granted.

The Ex Parte set for 2/15/23 at 1:30 PM in Department C28 is vacated.

Court orders Clerk to give notice.